

| | | |
|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| 09/829,059 | SANCHEZ B. ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Kwang B. Yao | 2667 | |

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103 Rejection

Claims discussed:

30

Prior art documents discussed:

Berg et al. (US 6,680,952), Iwama et al. (US 6,600,735)

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It is noted that the cited refereces of Berg et al. and Iwama et al. can be applied to the new claim 30. Mr. Weatherford agrees to cancel claim 30.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)